



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Bertram et al.

Serial No.: **09/708,890**

Filing Date: **November 8, 2000**

Title: **Method and System for Providing
Dynamic and Real-Time Air Travel
Information**

Art Unit: **3629**

Examiner: **J. Ouellette**

Patents

3629
Walden
11/18/03
#10/Request
Reconsidered

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO SECOND, NON-FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION
AND RECORD OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


RECEIVED
NOV 12 2003
GROUP 3600

Sir:

Responsive to the second, non-final Official Action mailed July 31, 2003 in the above-identified application, please consider the appended remarks and the Supplemental Declaration of Prior Invention Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 submitted herewith. Pursuant to MPEP § 713.04, also included herein is Applicants' record of the telephonic interview conducted with Examiner Ouellette on October 16, 2003.

[This space intentionally left blank]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on October 31, 2003.


Robert T. Neufeld, Reg. No. 48,394

Record of Telephonic Interview

A telephonic interview was conducted with Examiner Ouellette on October 16, 2003, in connection with the second, non-final Official Action issued by the Patent Office in the above-styled application. Applicants' counsel and the Examiner discussed Applicants' intention to file a Supplemental Declaration of Prior Invention Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 to swear behind the newly cited art. Applicants' counsel and the Examiner also discussed the Examiner's objection alleging that "check-in information [is] not disclosed in [the] declaration materials." No resolution on the merits of the application was reached during the telephonic interview.

[This space intentionally left blank]